

The committee, unless you
will it by post.

What a calamity
is his early death to poor
Fawcett. I have known him
& deeply regarded him for
nearly years. It is a cruel
blow to all his friends, &
well good causes.

Farewell
Fannie Harrington



8. Nov.

Mrs. Cunningham,

I have looked
at Wallace's paper; & though
he is prosy, wrongheaded,
& impulsive, I suppose from
the position he occupies as a re-
presentative man we must
undertake to print his paper
etc - But what a propose
not reading part of it will
be best. Only, I think it

had better be printed complete
& he mark his v. v. passages
Suey we cannot now decide
in any final way, what papers
shall be read. The Sub-commissioner
will have to see Mr. immediately
before the conference, what
papers to be read, taken
as read, &c &c. Don't let us
give any positive pledge,

except to print any paper
we ourselves ask for. I
have seen Lord Bramall,
& though he says he is too old
to write, he will I believe,
consent to come & open his
Laissez faire, in a speech.
Lefevre, whom we have seen
will act as president. I
think John Morley may
possibly contribute a paper
I ask Sir Wallace's
paper to

Harrison, FREDERIC (1831-1923) Author & Positivist.

A.L.S. to Cunningham.

4pp. 8vo. Nov. 8th. N.Y. £2/10/-

Saying that they would have to undertake to print Wallace's paper as he was "a representative man", but Harrison thought it was "prosy and wrongheaded". The sub-committee would have to decide which papers were to be read, / but that it should be printed in full. Lefevre would act as President and Lord Bramwell would be present. He refers to the death of Fawcett.

/ before the Conference started, and he agreed with Cunningham that parts of Wallace's paper should be read, but that it etc..

FLETCHER-63

g

Dr. Bern Dibner,

The Buddy Library,

NORWALK,

Cohn.,

U. S. A.

Dear Dr. Dibner,

September 16th, 1963.